14/12/07

30

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 7382/2007

PETITIONER Purshottam Lal Darshan, aged about 43 years, son of Shri Peelu Ram Darshan, working as-Class IV employee (Under Daily Wage) – In the Office of Block Education Officer – Kharsia, District Raigarh (C.G.) R/o Village Bade Dumarpali, Post Pamgarh, Tah. Kharsia, District Raigarh (C.G)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of C.G. and others. Through the Secretary, Department of Tribal Welfare, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Assistant Commissioner, Deptt of Tribal Welfare, Raigarh (C.G.)
3. The Block Education Officer— Block – Kharsiya, District Raigarh (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Shri Y. Chatturvedi and Shri K.P. Sahu, Counsel for the petitioner.

Shri A.S. Kachhwaha, Govt. Advocate for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(13.12.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that the petitioner was appointed as a Daily Wager prior to 31.12.1988. He is still working as a Daily Wager and his services have not been regularized so far. They submit that many petitions were filed in this regard and after scrutiny of the concerned employees like petitioner, they have been regularized. They make a limited prayer for disposal of the petition with a direction to the petitioner to make a representation before the concerned authority with a further direction to the concerned authority to decide the representation filed by the petitioner at the earliest.

31

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by learned counsel for the State.

The prayer is allowed.

It is observed and directed that if so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation before the concerned authority along with a copy of this order and a copy of the writ petition within a period of 3 weeks from today and if such a representation is filed, the concerned authority shall dispose of the same at the earliest and shall communicate the result to the petitioner accordingly.

With the aforesaid observations/directions, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Shyna

9/12/07